Abstract

Four varieties of honey collected from the different regions of India for the investigation of physicochemical and antioxidant. The study revealed that all the samples showed pH, Moisture, EC, TDS, HMF, Colour intensity, total sugar, reducing sugar and sucrose range (3.30-4.13, 15.69-17.23%, 152.33-371.66 µS/cm, 101-241.33 mg/l, 1.75-27.87 mg/Kg, 106.60-1592 mAU, 64.88-73.08%, 62.24-70.24% and 1.76-2.58%) respectively. Among the variety polyflora forest (PFf) is the best showing pH (4.13 ± 0.02), Moisture (17.23± 0.01%), Electrical Conductivity (EC, 371.66 ± 0.68 µS/cm), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS, 241.33 ± 0.68 mg/l), Hydroxyl Methyl furfural (HMF, 1.75 ± 0.00 mg/Kg), Colour intensity (1592.00 ±2.93 mAU) and total sugar, reducing sugar and sucrose contents were 65.03 ± 0.05 %, 62.24 ± 0.29 % and 2.25 ± 0.09 % respectively. Even PFf showing minerals Ca, Mg, Na, K, Fe, Zn and polyphenol (2119.28 ± 0.34 mg/Kg), flavonoids (975.50 ± 0.24 mg/Kg), flavonols (588.30 ± 0.33 mg/Kg), flavones (387.26 ± 0.22 mg/Kg) content was found to be more than monoflora, polyflora and processed one. Compare to other varieties PFf extract was markedly a more potent DPPH free radical scavenging activity and reductive Capacity. From this it concluded that the honey PFf variety was the best than the remaining.
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Introduction

The composition, flavour and colour of honey vary considerably depending on its botanical source. European legislation\(^1\) defines various honey types and the requirements for labelling. Composition of honey varies depending upon the geographical and the nectar sources of a region. The quality of the honey depends upon its physicochemical and sensory properties. Hence knowledge about its constituents is essential in judging its quality\(^2\). Honey is a sweet, viscous fluid produced by honeybees (Apis mellifera) using the nectar of flowers. In general, the composition of honey contains approximately 70-80% sugar, mainly fructose and glucose. Water, minerals, vitamins, traces of protein and antioxidants. Ancient Egyptians, Assyrians, Chinese, Romans and Greeks have traditionally used honey as a medicinal remedy, for the management of wound healing, skin ailments and various gastrointestinal diseases\(^3\). Characterisation of honey gained importance as it is a common food source for humans. Recent studies revealed that phenolic compounds present in the honey can act as potent antioxidants compare to other constituents like vitamins C and E\(^4,5\). Many researchers have reported the antibacterial activity of honey and found that natural unheated honey has some broad-spectrum antibacterial activity when tested against pathogenic bacteria, oral bacteria as well as food spoilage bacteria\(^6-8\). The antioxidant properties of honey are derived from both enzymatic (e.g., catalase, glucose oxidase and peroxidase) and nonenzymatic substances (e.g., ascorbic acid, α-tocopherol, carotenoids, amino acids, proteins, Maillard reaction products, flavonoids and phenolic acids\(^9,7-8\). The amount and type of these antioxidants are largely dependent on the floral source and a correlation between antioxidant activity with total phenolic content has been established\(^9,7-8\). Honey has been discovered for the treatments of bacterial infections by medical profession, particularly, where conventional modern therapeutic agents are failing\(^10\). Like other saturated sugar syrups and sugar pastes, honey has an osmolarity sufficient to inhibit microbial growth\(^11\). It has been reported that honey stimulates...
monocytes in cell cultures to release the cytokines TNF, alpha, IL-1 and IL-6, the cell messengers activates the many facets of the immune response to infection\textsuperscript{12}. In addition, to stimulate leucocytes honey provides a supply of glucose, which is essential for the respiratory burst in macrophages that produces hydrogen peroxide, the dominant component of their bacterial destroying activity\textsuperscript{10}. The acidity of honey may also assist in the bacteria destroying action of macrophages as pH inside the phagocytes value is involved in killing ingested bacteria\textsuperscript{10}.

Modern research has shown that honey may possess anti-inflammatory activity and stimulate immune responses within a wound. The therapeutic importance of certain types of honey has been attributed to its antibacterial agents and in some countries approved for the market as a therapeutic product. Medihoney\textsuperscript{®} and Active Manuka\textsuperscript{®} honey can currently be purchased as wound healing medicates in Australia and New Zealand\textsuperscript{13}. The aim of this work was to evaluate the quality of some samples of honey from the selected regions from the point of view of physicochemical properties and content of heavy metals and comparing these samples with others, analyzed honeys in literature, from countries which do not belong to locations with heavy pollution. Our work was also aimed to find some relationships among individual groups of honey and the correlation among individual constituents.

**Material and Methods**

**Honey Samples**

Four local honey samples derive directly from beekeepers in Bihar (Monoflora-MF), South Delhi (Polyflora-PF), Sirsi (Polyflora forest-PFf) and Bangalore (Processed-Pro) through Pristine laboratories, Bangalore on April 2013. All of the honey samples were stored at room temperature (22–24 °C) in airtight plastic containers until analysis.

**Chemicals and Reagents**

Ascorbic acid, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl, Hydroxymethylfurfural were procured from Hi-media, Mumbai. Folin–Ciocaltex’s reagent, Gallic acid, BHT, Tannic acid and Rutin were procured from Sigma-Aldrich. Sodium carbonate (Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\)), Aluminum chloride (AlCl\(_3\)), Sodium nitrite (NaNO\(_2\)) and Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from Merck. All chemicals used were of analytical grade.

**Physical Analysis**

The pH measured by pH meter 341350A-P (Extech instruments) for 10% solution, EC and TDS were measured by conductivity meter 341350A-P (Extech instruments) for 20% solution, moisture (Refractive Index), HMF (Spectrophotometer), Sugar analysis (Titration) were determined using an IS method\textsuperscript{14}. The colour intensity and colour analysis were determined according\textsuperscript{15}.

**Analysis of Antioxidant Properties**

**Extract preparation**

Six ml each of the different varieties of honey was dissolved with 2ml of methanol made up to 60ml with water and left overnight. The mixtures were filtered using Whatman No. 1 filter paper and stored in a refrigerator for the analysis.

**Determination of Total Phenolic Content**

The concentration of phenolics in honey samples was estimated using a modified spectrophotometric Folin-Ciocalteu method\textsuperscript{16}. 1 ml of honey extract was mixed with 1 ml of (1:1) FC reagent. After 3 min, 1 ml of 10% Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solution was added to the mixture and made to 10 ml with distilled water. The reaction was kept in the dark for 15 min, after which the absorbance was read at 725 nm using a UV/VIS spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Japan). Gallic acid was used to plot a standard concentration curve 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/mL. The concentration of phenolic compounds was measured in triplicate. The results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAEs) per kg honey.

**Determination of Total Flavonoids Content**

The total flavonoid content in each honey sample was measured using the colorimetric assay developed by Zhishen\textsuperscript{17}. 1 ml of honey extract was mixed with 4 ml of distilled water. Then 0.3 ml of NaNO\(_2\) 5% w/v was added. After five min 0.3 ml of AlCl\(_3\) 10% w/v was added followed by 2 ml of NaOH (1M) leave for 6 min. The volume was made up to 10 ml with distilled water. The mixture was vigorously shaken to ensure adequate mixing and the absorbance was read at 510 nm. A calibration curve was created using a standard solution of Rutin 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/mL. The results were expressed as mg rutin equivalents per kg of honey.

**Determination of Total Flavonols Content**

The method of Kumaran and Karunakaran\textsuperscript{18} was used with modifications. One ml of honey was dissolved with 1ml of ethanol, made upto 100ml with water and left overnight. It was filtered, centrifuged and the supernatant was collected. One ml of honey extract + 1ml of 2% AlCl\(_3\) (in ethanol) + 1ml of sodium acetate solution (2g in 40ml of water) were thoroughly mixed together and left in a water bath at 20°C for 10 mins. The absorbance was read at 440nm against the reagent blank that contained 1ml of ethanol. The same procedure was followed for the standard rutin (1mg/ml) which was diluted to the concentrations 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/ml.
**Determination of flavones**

The total amounts of flavones in all the varieties of honey investigated was determined by the difference between the total flavonoids contents and the total flavanol contents.

**Reducing power assay**

The method of Pulido et al. was used with modifications. 2.5ml of extract was mixed with 2.5ml of sodium phosphate buffer (0.2M pH 6.6) and 2.5ml of potassium ferricyanide (1% in water) in a test tube and reacted for 20 min at 50°C. The mixture was cooled using crushed ice and 0.5ml of trichloroacetic acid (10% in water) was added and the set up was centrifuged for 10 min. One ml of the supernatant was collected and an equal volume of water was added 0.2ml of 0.1% ferric chloride. The absorbance was read at 700nm against the reagent blank. Rutin was used as the standard. Increased absorbance reading indicates increased reducing power.

**DPPH Free radical scavenging activity**

The scavenging activity of DPPH free radicals developed according to the method reported by Gyamfi et al. Fifty microliters of the honey extract in methanol, yielding 100 µg/ml in each reaction, was mixed with 1 ml of 0.1 mM DPPH in methanol solution and 450 µl of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4). Methanol (50 µl) only was used as the experimental control. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the reduction in the number of DPPH free radicals was measured, read the absorbance at 517 nm BHT was used as standard. Increased absorbance reading indicates the possible fermentation of sugars into organic acids.

**Minerals and Metal analysis**

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES Perkin Elmer, USA) was used in the analysis of minerals and metals. Sample was made to ash and dissolves in 10% nitric acid, filtered and made up to 100 ml and fed to ICP-OES. Instrument is calibrated using multi standard elements (Perkin Elmer Life & Analytical Sciences US) with 10 % nitric acid as sample blank.

**Statistical Analyses**

Assays were performed in triplicate, and the results were expressed as mean values with standard deviations (SD). The significant differences represented by letters were obtained by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) post hoc test (p < 0.05).

**Results and Discussion**

Honey is naturally acidic irrespective of its geographical origin, which may be due to the presence of organic acids that contribute to its flavor and its stability against microbial spoilage. The pH of honey samples is important during the extraction process because it affects the texture of honey as well as its stability and shelf life. All of the tested honey samples were acidic in nature, with pH values that varied between 3.30 to 4.13 (Table 1). These values were similar to those previously reported for other honey samples from India, Brazil, Spain and Turkey, which were reported to have pH between 3.49 and 4.70.

A highly acidic honey sample indicates the possible fermentation of sugars into organic acids. None of the investigated samples exceeded the allowed limit (3.7-4.5), which may be considered as an index of freshness of all honey samples. The moisture content in the investigated honey samples varied between 14.56% to 17.23%. Which are within the limit (≤20%) recommended by the international quality regulations. Water content is very important for the shelf life of honey during storage and can lead to undesirable honey fermentation due to osmotolerant yeasts, which form ethyl alcohol and carbon dioxide. EC is one of the most important factors for determining the physical characteristics of honey. It is also an important physicochemical measurement for the authentication of unifloral honeys. The EC values of samples were within the allowed parameters (lower than 800 µS/cm) (Table 1). The values of EC change when the amount of plant pollen decreases. According to 29, the nectars from some plants are “stronger” (gives more mineral and energy) than others, and even low contamination of honey with “stronger” nectar can modify its sensory and physicochemical properties. TDS is a measure of the combined content of all inorganic and organic substances in honey, results demonstrate that there is a good correlation between EC and TDS. Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) is an essential parameter used to indicate honey quality. HMF formation results from the acid-catalyzed dehydration of hexose sugars. In fresh honey it is present in trace amounts and its concentration increase with storage and the prolonged heating of the honey. With the exception of a sample (PF) that contained 1.75 mg/kg of HMF, the HMF concentrations of the remaining honey samples MF, PF and Pro were similar, ranging from 19.96 to 27.87 mg/kg respectively (Table 1). Notably, all HMF concentrations were within the recommended range set by the Codex Alimentarius at 80 mg/kg.
Flavonoids range obtained was 111.83-975.5 mg/kg which exceeded the highest limit set for total sugar content by Sucrose is 1.76 -2.58% (Table 2). None of the samples showed higher values of optical density at 450 nm. Sample MF had the lowest value of 106.6mAU which was in the range of fresh honey. Colour intensity values of the investigated samples ranged from 106.60-1592.00 mAU (Table 1). The Reducing Sugars content of the honeys analyzed ranged from 62.2 -70.24% and which Processed contains lowest value of 61.3 and PFf contains the highest of 588.3 mg/kg (Table 4). The free radical scavenging activities of honey samples were measured using the DPPH assay. The reduction of DPPH radicals can be observed by the decrease in absorbance at 517 nm. Different honey samples reduced DPPH radicals significantly. The values of percent decolorization of DPPH radicals are shown in the Fig 1. Among the four honey samples PFf show higher inhibition while MF shows lower. Reducing power assay is a novel method that is used in the assay of the antioxidant activities of various medicinal plants and it employs the reduction of Fe3+ to Fe2+. This is because antioxidants are strong reducing agents. The reducing power of all the samples as shown in Figure 2. The reducing power of all the PFf shows highest activity compared to other samples.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the result of this study indicated that honey samples derived form four different region of India, were mostly at good quality. This is the first study to attempted to investigate the physicochemical and antioxidant properties of different regions of Indian honeys more elaborately. All the samples analyzed, contained significant quantities of minerals, indicating their nutritive potentials. This study showed that Indian honey samples have high antioxidant potential, as indicated by their high phenolic, flavonoid and flavonols contents. The samples had strong reducing power and inhibitory actions on DPPH radical, indicating their antioxidant properties. Finally, the study highlights the effect of regional flora on the composition and antioxidant potentials of honey.
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Table 1: Physical parameters (pH, moisture content, EC, TDS, color characteristics and HMF contents) of Indian honey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Electrical Conductivity (EC) μS/cm</th>
<th>Total dissolved solids (TDS) mg/l</th>
<th>HMF Content (mg/kg)</th>
<th>ABS450 (mAU; 50 w/v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>3.81 ±0.00 a</td>
<td>16.47 ±0.00 a</td>
<td>152.33 ± 0.93 a</td>
<td>101.00 ±0.44 a</td>
<td>19.96 ±0.00 a</td>
<td>106.60 ±0.20 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.00 b</td>
<td>15.69 ± 0.00 b</td>
<td>210.66 ± 0.51 b</td>
<td>141.00 ±0.44 b</td>
<td>25.64 ± 0.01 b</td>
<td>504.10 ± 0.01 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFf</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.02 a</td>
<td>17.23 ± 0.01 a</td>
<td>371.66 ± 0.68 a</td>
<td>241.33 ±0.68 a</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>1592.00 ± 2.93 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>3.30 ± 0.04 a</td>
<td>14.56 ± 0.00 a</td>
<td>220.66 ± 0.51 a</td>
<td>151.33 ±0.68 a</td>
<td>27.87 ± 0.01 a</td>
<td>714.06 ± 0.01 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>15.97 ± 0.01</td>
<td>238.38 ±0.65</td>
<td>158.66 ±0.56</td>
<td>18.73 ± 0.01</td>
<td>729.19 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means are compared by using One way ANOVA-Post Hoc Multiple Comparisons. In each column, values with different letters (superscripts) indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

Table 2: Reducing and non-reducing sugar content of Indian honey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Total Reducing sugar content mean ± SD%</th>
<th>Reducing sugar mean ± SD%</th>
<th>Sucrose mean ± SD%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>73.08 ±0.07 a</td>
<td>70.24 ± 0.15 a</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.03 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>64.88 ± 0.14 b</td>
<td>63.05 ± 0.06 b</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.01 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFf</td>
<td>65.03 ± 0.05 a</td>
<td>62.24 ± 0.29 a</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.09 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>72.02 ± 0.03 a</td>
<td>68.98 ± 0.24 a</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.06 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>68.75 ± 0.07</td>
<td>66.12 ± 0.18</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means are compared by using One way ANOVA-Post Hoc Multiple Comparisons. In each column, values with different letters (superscripts) indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

Table 3: Minerals and metal content of Indian honey
Table 4: Polyphenolic composition of 4 honey samples from different locations of India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Total polyphenols (mg gallic acid/kg)</th>
<th>Flavonoids (mg rutin/kg)</th>
<th>Flavonols (mg rutin/kg)</th>
<th>Flavones (mg rutin/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>890.50 ± 0.42 d</td>
<td>190.38 ± 0.24 d</td>
<td>111.51 ± 0.25 d</td>
<td>78.40 ± 0.15 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>995.30 ± 0.27 b</td>
<td>224.31 ± 0.30 c</td>
<td>65.50 ± 0.23 c</td>
<td>158.70 ± 0.18 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFf</td>
<td>2119.28 ± 0.34 c</td>
<td>975.50 ± 0.24 b</td>
<td>588.30 ± 0.33 b</td>
<td>387.26 ± 0.22 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>976.76 ± 0.26 a</td>
<td>111.83 ± 0.24 a</td>
<td>61.30 ± 0.32 a</td>
<td>50.70 ± 0.09 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1245.46 ± 0.32</td>
<td>375.50 ± 0.25</td>
<td>206.20 ± 0.28</td>
<td>168.76 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means are compared by using One way ANOVA-Post Hoc Multiple Comparisons. In each column, values with different letters (superscripts) indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).