# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & LIFE SCIENCES Hydrobiological studies on monthly population of total Copepode zooplanktons and their correlation coefficient with some physicochemical factors of Lony dam (Theothar) Rewa (M.P.)

Chandan Sharma<sup>1\*</sup>, Rohini Prasad Tiwari<sup>2</sup> and Kshipra Tripathi<sup>2</sup>

1, Department of Zoology, Janta P.G. College, Rewa (M.P.) - India.

2, Department of Zoology, Saraswati Vigyan Mahavidyalya Nirala Nagar, Rewa (M.P.) - India.

### **Abstract**

The present investigation was made from march 2005 to feb. 2006 among Copepode, members of the species of *Cyclopoid, Paracyclops, clenoid Diaptomus* were found to be have common occurrence with a wide range of distribution in Littoral and Limnetic water at all the sampling station of Lony dam. The water transparency shown negative correlation with PH value.

**Key-Words:** Copepode zooplanktons, Correlation coefficient, Lony dam.

#### Introduction

The Copepodes are the important group among zooplankton and form the nutritive group of Crustaceans for fish and influenced by negative environmental factor as caused by excessive human interference in water bodies but to a lesser extant than the Cladocerans. Copepodes are much more harder and strongly motile than all other zooplankton with their tougher exoskeleton and longer and stronger appandages. They have long developmental time and a complex life history with early larval stages difficult to distinguish. They are almost wholly carnivorous on the smaller zooplankton for their food needs. Among the three orders of copepods, Cyclopid copepods are generally predatory on other zooplankton and fish larvae. The Cyclopoids also fed on Algae, Bacteria and detritus. The second group of Copepods, Clanoid copepods change their diet with age, sex, season and food availability. The Clanoid copepodes omnivorous feeding on Ciliates, Rotifers, Algae, Bacteria and detritus. Copepods in general can withstand harsher environmental conditions compared to Cladoceran<sup>1</sup> Kalff (2002).

# \* Corresponding Author

## Material and Methods

The three sampling sites A.B and E, were taken from Littoral zone an two sampling sites C and D were taken from Limntic zone. The water samples were collected from Lony dam (Theonthar), Rewa M.P. during first week of every month between 8:00 am to 12:00 noon from March 2005 to February 2006. The sample was taken in 125ml bottle which were preserved by adding 2ml of 4% formaline. The samples were taken in to Sedgwick raftler cell and identification of zoolplankton was carried out and counting was done in laboratory. According to Scourfield and Harding<sup>2</sup>, Harding and Smith<sup>3</sup>, Pontin<sup>4</sup>, Tonapi<sup>5</sup>, Pennak<sup>6</sup>, Standard Literature were used for identification of different species and the identified species were expressed in number per litre. In order to estimate the quantitative values of physicchemical parameters the water samples were taken to laboratory and were analysed by applying the standard method. APHA<sup>7</sup>, APHA<sup>8</sup> AWWA and WPCF<sup>9</sup>, Travedi and Goel<sup>10</sup> (1986-87). Correlation coefficient were calculated for all the characters combination at Genotypic. Phenotypic and environment level by the formula given by Miller et al., 11-12.

## **Results and Conclusion**

The monthly population seasonal and spatial variation of total Copepode zooplankton of Lony dam from March 2005 to Feb. 2006 are given in table No. 1 and correlation coefficient among physic-chemical factors are given in table No. 2. Maximum population of Copepode zooplankton was observed in month of April and minimum population was in September and

[Sharma et al., 2(5): May, 2011]

ISSN: 0976-7126

November. Maximum potential of Copepode zooplanktons were appeared in summer moderate in winter and minimum in mansoon season. Copepode zooplankton bulk of Lony dam have shown a positive correlation with water transparency and water transparency of this dam shown negative correlation with PH value.

The present study has revealed that most of Copepode species inhabited in Limnetic water. The Copepode community is comprised of four species. Therefore the sampling station D had large number of species in comparative to sampling station A. B. E. and C.

Among Copepode members Cyclopoid, Paracyclops, Clenoid and Diaptomus where found to be have common occurrence with wide range of distribution in Littoral and Limnetic water of Lony dam. Copepode have showed a positive correlation with water transparency. The same view was also given <sup>13</sup>-<sup>17</sup>.

#### Acknowledgment

We thank my Prof. Dr. (Smt.) Shakuntala Shukla Retd. Prof. and Head of Zoology Deptt. Govt. Model Science College, Rewa (M.P.). who made the work possible and his full support and encouragement through out the work. We also thank Dr. S.D. Sharma Retd. A.D. Rewa for his valuable suggestion.

Table 1: Monthly data of total copepode zooplankton (Units/L.) of lony dam (2005-2006)

Month	Littoral sites			Limnetic sites		Mean
	A	В	E	С	D	value
Mar	02	03	02	02	05	2.8
Apr	06	05	07	06	04	5.6
May	05	03	04	06	06	4.8
Jun	02	01	03	03	01	2.0
July	02	01	01	02	07	2.6
Aug	04	01	02	01	01	1.8
Sep	01	01	01	02	02	1.4
Oct	02	02	02	03	01	2.0
Nov.	02	01	02	00	02	1.4
Dec.	03	04	02	05	04	3.6
Jan.	02	04	03	05	02	3.2
Feb.	0.1	01	01	02	05	2.0

#### Seasonal Variations

Summer	3.7	3.0	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.3
Rainy	1.8	1.0	1.2	1.6	2.2	1.5
Winter	6.2	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.2	2.4

Table 2: Matrix showing the values of correlation coefficients data of physico-chemical factors and copepode zooplanktons of Lony dam 2005-06

	pН	Water	Water	Total	Protozoa
HAR		temp.	transp	hardness	ns
	18785	-	arency		
рН	1	CI	9		
Water	0.54147	1	-	3	
temperature	9		10		
Water	-	0.1749	1	0.00	
transparency	0.57858	43			
	3*				0
Total	0.10307	-	-	1	9
hardness	7	0.4964	0.4176	10	. 10
		3	3		C.M.
Copepode		0.1641	0.5947	-0.61861	1-
zooplanktons	0.42627	91	15*		1

df = 10, \* Significant at 5% level, \*\* Significant at 1% level, Table value of r (correlation coefficient) at 5% = 0.564, Table value of r (correlation coefficient) at 1% = 0.764, Ns insignificant

#### References

- 1. Kalff, J. (2002). Limnology: Inland water ecosystems, Prentice Hall publications, New Jersey, USA.
- Scourfield D. and Harding J.P. (1966). Key to the British species of freshwater cladocra, FBA Scientific Publication No. 5, freshwater Biological Association (FBA) United Kingdom (UK).
- 3. Harding J.P. and Smith W.A. (1974). A key to British freshwater Cyclopid and Clanoid Copepode. FBA Scientific Publication No. 18. Bioloigical association United (FBA). Kingdom (UK).
- 4. Pontin R.M. (1978). A key to British freshwater planktonic rotifera, FB Scientific publication No. 38, freshwater Biological Association (FBA). United Kingdom (UK).
- 5. Tonapi G.T. (1980). Freshwater animals of India (An Ecological approach), oxford and IBH Publication Co., New Delhi, 341.
- 6. Pennak R.W. (1989). Fresh water invertebrates of the United States. John wiley and Sons Inc,. New York, 628.
- Standard 7. APHA (1975). methods examination of water and waste water American Public Health Association. New
- APHA (1985). Standard Methods: For the examination of water and wastewater. 1985. American Public Health edition, Association (APHA).

# **Research Article**

[Sharma et al., 2(5): May, 2011] **ISSN: 0976-7126** 

9. APHA, AWWA and WPCF (1985). Standard methods for examination of the water and waste water. 16<sup>th</sup> edition APHA. 1015 fifteenth streets N.W. Washington DC., 1266.

- 10. Trivedy R.K. and Goel P.K. (1987). Practical methods in ecology and environmental science. Environmental publications, Karad, India.
- 11. Miller D.A., Willams J.C., Robnison H.F. and Comstock K.B. (1958). Estimates of genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in upland cotton and their implication in selection. *Agron. J.*, **50**: 126-131.
- 12. Miller W.W.H.M., Young C.H., Mahannah and Garrett J.R., (1986). Identification of water quality difference in Nevada through index application. *J. environ. Ouol.*, **15(3)**: 265-271.
- 13. Das S.K. (2002). Primary production and zooplankton biodiversity in brackish water shrimp culture pond. *J. Ecobiol.*, **14(4)**: 267-271.

- 14. Das S.M. and Shrivastava V.K. (1959). Studies on freshwater plankton III<sup>rd</sup> qualitative composition and seasonal fluctuation in plankton components. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* India, **29**: **B** (4): 174-189.
- Goel P.K.., Khatavkar S.D., Kulkarni A.Y. and Trivedi R.K. (1986). Limnological studies of few fresh water bodies in South Western Maharashtra with special reference to their chemistry and phytoplankton. *Poll. Res.*, 5:61-68.
- 16. Sharma A.L.N. and P.K. Pattanaik (1985). Ecological studies of zooplankton of fresh water ponds in and around Bhubaneswar. *J. Environ. Biol.*, 9 (3 suppl.): 303-311.
- 17. Sharma N. (1983). Investigation on limnology of tank ecosystem near Allahabad with particular reference to the abundance and seasonal distribution of plankton and Benthos. Ph. D. Thesis. University of Sagar.

