



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & LIFE SCIENCES

Isolation, characterization and antibacterial activity of leaves

extract of bael (*Aegle Marmelos*)Biresk K Sarkar^{1*} and Shailendra Singh Solanki²

1, Sri Balaji College of Pharmacy, Jaipur, (R.J.) - India.

2, College of Pharmacy, IPS Academy, RajendraNagar, Indore, (M.P.) - India

Abstract

Bael tree, (*Aegle marmelos*, Family- Rutaceae), is a sacred tree used for medicinal purpose. Some of the medicinal properties are astringent, antidiarrheal, antidyenteric, demulcent, stomachic, fever curing, insulin-promoter, anti-inflammatory, cardio tonic and cures ophthalmic, urinary trouble, palpitation and many more. Most of these properties are believed to be due to presence of bioactive alkaloids in Bael, thus in present work antibacterial phytoconstituent has been isolated from *Aegle marmelos* leaves which displayed potent antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*.

Key-Words: Alkaloid, Antibacterial agent, Phytomedicine, *Aegle marmelos*.

Introduction

Aegle marmelos (Bael) is a sacred tree from India, of Rutaceae family, related to citrus. It is a beautiful medium size tree (average is 8.5 m tall), with spines on its branches and very aromatic. Leaves are pale green and trifoliate. Flowers are greenish white, sweetly scented, fruits are yellowish green. It is a good source of vitamin C and protein (1). There are several medicinal uses of Bael in curing diarrhea, fever, poor absorption, and bleeding, vomiting, nausea with blood, bronchitis, and gingivitis. Decoction of leaves is febrifuge, expectorant, asthmatic complaints (1). The leaves contain many constituents like alkaloids, aegeline, alkaloid coumarine, and marmine, sterol sitosterol, and essential oils d-limonene (1). Aegeline has recently attracted the interests of several researches (2-8). Review of literature suggested that most of the pharmacological properties are due to the presence of alkaloids in Bael. The study involves the isolation, and characterization of the bioactive constituents in the plant leaves and evaluation of antibacterial activity against some pathogenic bacteria for possible development of new drugs for the prevention and treatment of infections.

*** Corresponding Author:**

E-mail: biresksarkar@gmail.com

Material and methods**General experimental procedure**

The IR spectra were determined on a Thermo Nicolet 470 FT – IR spectrometer. The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 FT spectrometer for ¹H NMR and 75 FT spectrometer for ¹³C NMR, using TMS as internal standard. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm). Column chromatography was carried out using silica gel (200-300 mesh) and to monitor the preparative separations, analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed at room temperature on pre-coated 0.25 mm thick silica gel 60 F254 aluminum plates 20 x 20 cm Merck, Darmstadt, Germany. Reagents and solvents were all of analytical grades and procured from Merck.

Plant materials

The plant material required for the study was fresh. Tender leaves were harvested as it has 0.15-0.2% yields from the month of November to March. These leaves were kept in sunlight and the dry leaves were powdered and stored.

Extraction and isolation of plant materials

The leaves (2 kg) were dried on the laboratory bench for 10 days. The dry sample was milled and ground into powder (1.3 kg). The powdered plant sample (1 kg) was packed into a Soxhlet apparatus and extracted exhaustively with ethanol for 24 h. The ethanolic extract was concentrated using a rotary evaporator at 40°C and then left on the bench to get crude extract

(48.5 g). The crude extract was partitioned between chloroform and water. A chloroform soluble fraction was obtained. Chloroform fractions was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel and eluted gradually with petroleum ether, petroleum ether-chloroform (90:10; 80:20; 70:30)

The yield of final solid was re-crystallized from hexane then subjected to thin layer chromatography (Chloroform: methanol 7:3) which confirm presence of one band R_f (0.71). The isolated compound coded as AL1 & subjected to qualitative test of alkaloids.

The *in vitro* antibacterial activity of isolated compound (AL1) was carried out for 24 h culture of selected bacteria. The bacteria used were Gram-negative organisms: *Salmonella typhi*, *Escherichia coli* and two Gram-positive strains comprising *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Cultures were brought to laboratory conditions by resuscitating the organism in buffered peptone broth and thereafter nutrient agar (peptone 5 g/l and meat extract 3 g/ml) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The antibacterial activity was performed by a filter paper disc diffusion technique. The medium (7 g nutrient agar in 250 ml distilled water, autoclaved at 115°C for 15 min.) was cooled to 50°C. The medium (20 ml) was poured into a sterile Petri dish and allowed to solidify, set for 8 h then observed for contamination. The sterility of the medium was tested using autoclave 121°C 15 psi for 15 min. Compound AL1 (1 g) was dissolved in 1 ml of absolute ethanol and made up to 10 ml with distilled water to give a concentration of 100mg/ml (10% dilution). A colony of each test organism was sub-cultured on nutrient broth which contains peptone (5 g/l and meat extract 3 g/l) and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 8 h. 30 ml of the nutrient broth was used to flood the agar plates. A sterilized Whatman No. 1 filter paper disc soaked in AL1 was used to test for the sensitivity or antimicrobial effect of compound isolated from *Aegle marmelos*. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After incubation, plates were observed for zones of inhibition (in mm diameter). The minimum inhibitory concentration was determined. The sensitivity susceptibility of the test bacteria to standard drug was tested using incubated agar plate and Streptomycin. The zones of inhibition of Streptomycin on the test organisms were measured and compared with those of isolated compound of the same concentration.

Statistical analysis

All measurements were replicated three times and standard deviations determined. The student t-test at $P < 0.05$ was applied to assess the difference between the means (9).

Results and Discussion

The ¹H NMR spectrum of isolated compound AL1 revealed the presence of secondary methyl. The presence of the aromatic ring is easily established and identified by the IR characteristics signal V_{max} 1623 Cm⁻¹ and ¹H and ¹³C spectra. The ¹H spectrum gives the aromatic proton at δ H 6.66482. The IR spectrum showed peaks at V_{max} 3420 cm⁻¹ (OH), 2926 cm⁻¹ (CH), 2853 cm⁻¹ (aliphatic C-H stretching) and 1059 cm⁻¹ (C-O) stretching (Table 1). The isolated compound also exhibited significant anti-bacterial activity *in vitro* against a wide range of pathogenic microorganisms (Table 2). The compound successfully inhibited *B. subtilis*, *S. typhi*, *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. *B. subtilis* was found to be more sensitive to the isolated compound. Many of these organisms are natural flora of the skin and also known etiologic agents of several skin and mucous membranes infections of man (10).

The inhibition effect of these pathogenic organisms may be the reason behind the use of *plant* in herbal medicine for the treatment of asthma, cough, diarrhea, fever, dysentery, urinary trouble. The level of inhibitory activity of isolated compound was found to be good at concentration 5-10 mg/ml. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the compound was found between 2-6 mg/ml for different pathogenic microorganisms (Table 3). *S. aureus* is the common cause of urinary tract infections and traveler's diarrhea (11); isolated compound shows varying degrees on inhibition of the growth of these pathogens. This finding supported the use of the leaves of *Aegle marmelos* (Bael) in the treatment of diarrhea and urinary tract.

This study demonstrates that *Aegle marmelos* possess significant antibacterial activities which justify the traditional use of *plant* in phytomedicine. The isolated compound from *Aegle marmelos* need to be further evaluated to explore its therapeutics importance in drug development process.

References

1. Chakravarti, R.N. and Dasgupta, B., (1958). The structure of aegeline *J. Indian chem. soc.* 1580-1582.
2. Chatterjee, A., Bose, S., and Srimany, S.K., (1959). Studies on the Constitution, Stereochemistry, and Synthesis of Aegeline, an Alkaloids- Amide of *Aegle marmelos* Correa, *J. org. chem.*, vol 24, 687-690.
3. Kamalakkanan. N., Rajadurai. M and Prince P.S., (2003). Effect of *Aegle marmelos* fruits on normal and streptozotocin-diabetic Wistar rats, *J. Med. Food.* 93-98.

4. Saxena. A and Vukram N.K, (2004). Role of selected Indian plants in the management of type 2 diabetes: a review, *J. Altern complements Med.* 369-378.
5. Upadhy S., Shanbhag K.K., Suneetha G, Balachandra Naidu M and Upadhy S. (2004). a study of hypoglycemic and antioxidant activity of *Aegle marmelos* in alloxan induced diabetic rats, *Indian J. physiol Pharmacol* 476-480.
6. Jabbar S, Khan M.T., Choudhari MS and Sil B.K., (2004). Bioactivity studies of the individual ingredients of the Dashamularishta, *Pak. J. Pharm sci.* 9-17.
7. Ramamoorthy. A., 2004. Anti diabetics from *Aegle Marmelos*. <http://www.siesiem.org>.
8. Kamalakkannan N and Mainzen Prince P.S., (2005). The effect of *Aegle marmelos* fruit extract in streptozotocin diabetes A Histopathological study, *J Herb Pharmacother*
9. Steel RG.D., Torrie J.H. (1980). *Principles and Procedure of Statistics with special References to Biological Sciences*, McGraw-Hill New York. p. 48.
10. Esimone C.O., Nworu C.S, Ekong U.S., Okereke B.C. (2008). Evaluation of the antiseptic properties of *Cassia alata* based herbal soap. *J. Alter. Med.* 6(1): 1- 8.
11. Jawetz M., Adelbery E.A., Brooks G.F., Butel JS, Omoston L.N. (1999). *Medical Microbiology* 18th Edn Prentice - Hall International UK, London p. 592.

Table 1: Spectral analysis of isolated compound (AL1)

| Comp. code | IR spectra (cm ⁻¹) | ¹ H NMR Spectra (δ) in ppm |
|------------|---|--|
| AL1 | 3420 (OH), 2920 (CH ₂), 2853 (CH ₂), 1623 (C=C aromatic), 1456 (NH), 1059 (CO), | 7.40 (r, 4H, aromatic ring), 6.15-6.78 (s, 4H, aromatic ring), 4.58 (r, 2H, OH), 3.64 (m, 1H), 1.25-2.59 (r, 2H, CH ₂ in ring). |

Table 2: Antibacterial activity of isolated compound (AL1)

| Pathogens | Zone of inhibition (mm) (Mean ± SD) | |
|--------------------------|--|--------------|
| | Isolated compound (AL1) | Streptomycin |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> | 11 ± 0.2 | 15 ± 0.4 |
| <i>Solmonella typhi</i> | 11 ± 1.1 | 15 ± 0.5 |
| <i>Staplococc aureus</i> | 14 ± 0.2 | 18 ± 1.2 |
| <i>Bacill subtilis</i> | 12 ± 0.0 | 17 ± 1.5 |

Table 3: The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) regimes of isolated compound (AL1)

| Pathogens | MIC (mg/ml ⁻¹) | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | Isolated compound (AL1) | Streptomycin |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> | 5.00 | 0.25 |
| <i>Solmonella typhi</i> | 6.25 | 0.5 |
| <i>Staplococc aureus</i> | 5.00 | 0.065 |
| <i>Bacill subtilis</i> | 2.50 | 0.065 |