



**Assessment of anthracene on hepatic and antioxidant enzyme activities in *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton, 1822)**

S.Vasanth<sup>1\*</sup>, Arul Ganesh<sup>1</sup>, T.Siva Vijayakumar<sup>1</sup>, S.Karthikeyeni<sup>1</sup>, M .Manimegalai<sup>2</sup> and P.Subramanian<sup>1</sup>

1, Department of Animal Science, School of Life science, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, (TN) - India

2, Department of Zoology, Seetha Lakshmi Ramaswamy College, Trichirappalli, (TN) - India

**Abstract**

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are widespread pollutants across the global aquatic environment and demonstrate a wide variety of toxic effects in freshwater and marine organisms. The present study aim to estimate the risks of PAHs (Anthracene) to aquatic organisms' enzymatic biomarkers in *Labeo rohita* were assessed. Those include antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, GSH), liver damaging enzymes (GOT, GPT,) and LDH, ALP in the liver, kidney, gills and muscle. Fish were grouped into six. Each group was exposed to any one of the different concentration (0(control), 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 and 4.0mg/L) of anthracene. The exhibited induction of LDH activity suggesting an increase of anaerobic pathway of energy production. Antioxidant enzymes elevations suggest anthracene induced oxidative stress in aquatic organisms. The increased liver damaging enzymes suggest liver dysfunction due to anthracene in liver cells. The overall results suggest anthracene is a potent toxicant to aquatic environment.

Key-Words: *Labeo Rohita*, Anthracene, Anti-oxidant enzymes, PAHs, Hepatic Biomarkers.

**Introduction**

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) are a group of hydrophobic organic compounds that are ubiquitous pollutants derived from pathogenic sources. They are present, for example, in water bodies especially near densely populated and industrialized areas. PAHs are absorbed by fish via the gills and body surface. It also enters via ingestion of food or through contaminated sediment (Neff 1985). PAHs are rapidly transformed into more hydrophilic metabolites that are excreted; thus fish exposed to these compounds show only trace amounts of PAH in their tissues (Tuvikene 1995). PAH metabolites are usually determined in fish bile, where they are concentrated and stored prior to excretion. Biliary PAH metabolite analysis provides information about the actual exposure of fish to PAH compound and reveals the state and suitability of the aquatic environment for fish.

**\* Corresponding Author**

E.mail:subbus1952@gmail.com,  
refvasan85@gmail.com  
Mob.: +91-0431-2407040

The effects of pollutants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have been extensively studied in species from sub-tropical to boreal regions and biomarkers have been developed for indicator species living specifically in the regions of concern. An increasing variety of foreign chemicals (xenobiotics) released by urban communities, industries and agricultures is entering the aquatic environment and bringing potential long-term adverse effects on aquatic organisms (Livingstone 1998). These xenobiotics include organic trace pollutants such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs), and many metals such as iron (Fe), cadmium (Cd) and lead (Pb). Such a diverse array of chemicals products can have many different mechanisms of toxicity, and numerous xenobiotics may also involve the common mechanisms of toxicity (Stohs and Bagchi 1995; Livingstone 2001). Once a PAH has been taken up by an organism it may be subjected to biotransformation reactions. In bivalve molluscs, PAH metabolism largely occurs through radical oxidation involving reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Stegeman and Lech 1991) which can be generated at various stages along the metabolic pathway (Livingstone 1991). ROS are produced

continually in living cells, and are essential in maintaining cell function in biological systems. However, an imbalance between formation and neutralisation of these reactive species (ROS) can induce oxidative damage (Valavanidis *et al.* 2006). Crude oil spills, refinery activities and industrial and urban wastes are important sources of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in aquatic ecosystems. PAH are potentially carcinogenic (Shailaja and D'Silva 2003) and an important concern, because they are ubiquitous contaminants in coastal and freshwater zones (Akaishi *et al.* 2004). These compounds may induce hepatic lesions, physiological and biochemical disorders in fish. Contaminated fish may then be used to bio monitor the presence and importance of these pollutants. PAH contamination is especially important near industrialized areas (Aas *et al.* 2000). Finding PAH metabolites in fish bile has proven to be a simple and sensitive method for screening fish for PAH contamination as well as a useful biomarker for assessing exposure to aromatic contaminants in aquatic environments (Leadly *et al.* 1999; Aas *et al.* 2000).

Anthracene ( $C_6H_4.C_2H_2.C_6H_4$ ) is a solid polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon with a low molecular weight, consisting of three fused benzene rings derived from coal-tar. Anthracene also referred to as Paraphthalene, Green Oil which accompanies naphthalene in the last stages of the distillation of coal tar. Anthracene is used in the artificial production of the red dye alizarin. It is also used in wood preservatives, insecticides, and coating materials. Anthracene is colorless but exhibits a blue fluorescence under ultraviolet light. Anthracene is present in many estuarine systems at concentrations believed to cause sub lethal adverse effects, although its exact mode of toxicity remains uncertain.

If released to water, anthracene will be expected to adsorb very strongly to sediments and particulate matter. It was not hydrolyzed but may bio concentrated in aquatic organisms which lack microsomal oxidase (this enzyme enables the rapid metabolism of polyaromatic hydrocarbons). It undergoes direct photolysis near the surface of natural waters and may be subject to significant biodegradation based on laboratory tests.

## **Material and Methods**

### **Chemicals**

Anthracene technical grade was purchased from Loba chemie (pvt) Ltd and were used with 97% purity, respectively. AST, ALT, LDH and ALP kits were purchased from biosystem and the antioxidant substances were purchased from Himedia.

### **Test Species**

One month old *L.rohita* fish with an average weight of  $8 \pm 1.6$  gm and average length of  $10 \pm 1.4$  cm were obtained from a local hatchery. Fish were kept in tanks with aerated tap water. Fish were fed on commercial feed and acclimatized to laboratory conditions at least one week before the experiments were performed.

### **Determination of Sub-Lethal Concentrations**

Acute toxicity bioassays to determine the 96 h LC50 value of anthracene was conducted in a semi-static laboratory system according to the OECD guideline No 203 [1992] with the modifications indicated below. Anthracene was dissolved in filtered distilled water and added to the aquarium following the method of Vieira LR [2008]. The experiment was conducted in 100L aquarium and gentle aerated tap water. A set of 10 fish specimens were randomly exposed to each of the nominal anthracene concentrations (5.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0 and 25.0 mg/L) and a control (0.00 mg/L) and the experiment was set in triplicate to obtain the LC50 values of the test anthracene for the species. The LC50 value of anthracene for *L.rohita* was determined as 10.0 mg/L. Based on the 96 h LC50 values, the fish were then exposed for 5 weeks to five sub-lethal concentrations of the anthracene ( $1/2.5$  LC50 = ~4.00 mg/L,  $1/3.3$  LC50 = ~3.00 mg/L,  $1/5$  LC50 = ~2.00 mg/L,  $1/10$  LC50 = ~1.00 mg/L and  $1/20$  LC50 = ~0.5 mg/L) and used for the enzyme assays. A set of 20 fish were also simultaneously maintained in tap water (0.00 mg/L) as the control. No mortalities occurred following the exposure to the five sub lethal concentrations of the anthracene during the experiment. Every week's two fish from each dose and the control group were sacrificed to take liver, kidney, Gills and muscles from each fish and weighed to the nearest milligram. Approximately 0.2 gms of tissue was extracted and used for the determination of enzyme activities. The tissues were homogenized in a polytron-type homogenizer in ice cold condition at 4°C. The homogenates' strength was adjusted to 10% (w/v) with 0.25 M sucrose solution and was used as the source of enzymes.

### **Biochemical Analysis**

Biosystem Kits were used for the determination of Glutamic Pyruvic Transaminase (ALT) and Glutamic Oxaloacetic Transaminase (AST), Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH), Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP). All the enzymatic activities were measured in Autoanalyzer Biosystem A25 model Spain.

Total protein content was determined according to the Bradford (1951) method using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard.



### **Antioxidant Enzyme Activities**

CAT activity was assayed by the method of Caliborne (1985). Briefly, the assay mixture consisted of 1.95mL phosphate buffer (0.05 M, pH 7.0), 1mL hydrogen peroxide (0.019 M), and 0.05mL 10% PMS in a final volume of 3 ml. Change in absorbance was recorded at 240 nm. CAT activity was calculated in terms of nanomoles  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  consumed/ $\text{min}^{-1}/\text{mg}^{-1}$  protein.

SOD activities were measured using a spectrophotometer. About 0.3 g of fish liver was homogenized after addition of 3.0 ml of 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5) and centrifuged. SOD activities were determined from their ability to inhibit the autooxidation of pyrogallol using a modification of the method of Wienterbourm (1975). The rate of pyrogallol auto-oxidation was measured at 325 nm. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of the enzyme, which gave 50% inhibition of the auto-oxidation rate of 0.1 mM pyrogallol in 1 ml of solution. Glutathione reductase (GSH) activity was determined by the method of Carlberg and Mannervik. (1985). The reaction mixture 2 ml containing 50mM - phosphate buffer, pH 8.2, 1 mM-EDTA, 0.2 mM-NADPH and 1 mM-GSSG. One unit of glutathione reductase was defined as the amount of enzyme catalysing oxidation of 1  $\mu\text{mol}$  of NADPH/ $\text{min}^{-1}/\text{mg}^{-1}$  protein. Statistical analysis the values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SE for five animals.

### **Results and Discussion**

No difference was observed in the body weight gain of *L.rohita* during the whole period of anthracene exposure, but the food intake was decreased with increasing concentration. No mortality was encountered during to experimental period. Significance difference between the control and anthracene exposed fish were found for all the enzymes. Especially liver damaging enzymes such as ALT and AST concentrations were elevated (Fig 1-2) correspondingly with the increasing concentrations of the anthracene and also the activity noted was higher in liver sample when compared to kidney, gill and muscle in every week.

Another hydrolytic enzyme, ALP increases gradually starting from the 1<sup>st</sup> week to 5<sup>th</sup> week, the ALP enzyme level was higher than that control in all the concentration of anthracene. Further the LDH level was increased in the increasing concentration of anthracene. LDH level was higher in liver when compared to other tissue. (Fig. 1)

However, the antioxidant enzyme levels were significantly varies between the control and anthracene exposure fish. The specific activity of antioxidant enzymes such as catalase, SOD and GSH were

significantly higher in the highest concentration of anthracene (4mg/L). The activity of antioxidant enzymes were increases gradually in increasing concentration of anthracene. The Lowest level of catalase activity in Liver, SOD and GSH activity in kidney was noted at the concentration of 0.5mg/L of anthracene on 1<sup>st</sup> week exposure (Fig3-4). The other tissue like Gill, Muscle and Kidney had slightly decreased in enzyme activity than liver.

Accumulation of PAH compounds like anthracene in the liver, kidney and other organs might have caused serious pathological damage due to the exposure (Braunsbeck 1994; Vutukuru et al. 2007). Burtis et al. (1996) and Vutukuru et al. (2007) reported that when the liver cell is damaged, tissue specific enzymes are released into the bloodstream, thus making the enzymes level in the blood to go up. Therefore, the significant increase of the enzymes' (AST, ALT and ALP) activities in the liver, kidney, gill and muscle of *Labeo rohita* exposed to anthracene-contaminated water could either be due to their possible leakage from the cytosol across damaged plasma membrane into the general blood circulation or increase in their synthesis as a result of the organ dysfunction. The elevated enzyme activity was concentration dependent could be considered to be manifestation of oxidative stress caused by anthracene. The level of AST, ALP and ALT were observed at the highest in tested concentration (4 mg/L) of anthracene.

LDH level was increased in the increasing concentration of anthracene treatment. These findings also suggest that animals are getting additional energy from the anaerobic pathway in an attempt to support the processes (e.g. detoxification mechanisms) needed to face chemical exposure. These results are in good agreement with findings from the literature reporting an increase of LDH in fish after exposure to anthracene (L.R.Vieira et al. 2008).

Anthracene were found to significantly induce all the anti-oxidant enzymes tested, namely SOD, CAT, and GSH, which are crucial in the detoxification of oxyradicals to non-reactive molecules (Van Der Oost et al. 2003). These results suggest that PAHs induce the production of  $\text{O}_2^-$  which is converted to hydrogen peroxide ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ) by action of SOD and then that  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is converted into water by action of CAT. The pathway involving GPx also detoxify lipid peroxidase (Winston and Di Giulio 1991) and requires GR to catalyse the transformation of the oxidized disulfide form of glutathione (GSSG) to the reduced form (GSH), by making use of the oxidation of NADPH to  $\text{NADP}^+$ , which is further recycled mainly by the pentose phosphate pathway. Since in the case of fish exposed to

individual PAHs all the anti-oxidant enzymes measured were found to be induced, it is likely to conclude that a considerable amount of  $O_2^-$  is produced originating abundant  $H_2O_2$  that needs to be detoxified by both CAT and GPx pathways. GSH plays an important role in the detoxification of electrophilic substances and prevent cellular oxidative stress (Hasspieler et al. 1994). They can also bind, store and or transport a number of compounds that are not conjugates with GSH (Parkinson 2001). The GSH availability responds differently to distinct PAHs. GSH conjugation is involved in PAH removal, therefore it is in good agreement with the general detoxification. From these results, one can conclude that all the tested doses of anthracene have the capability of inducing oxidative stress on fish if the anti-oxidative stress defences of the cell are overtaken. Further this study shows that the accumulation of anthracene in the liver of *Labeo Rohita* led to the functional damage of these organs as reflected by the increased activities of the enzymes. This biochemical dysfunction may interfere with the homeostatic processes which may ultimately affect the survival and rational exploitation of this fish in their natural environment. The increase in the activities of the enzymes reinforces their important roles in the detoxification of toxicity of anthracene and further shows that AST, ALT and ALP are potential biomarkers that can be used for anthracene-induced liver toxicity in *Labeo rohita*.

#### **Acknowledgement**

Authors acknowledge the financial support provided by MoE&F, University Grant Commission, New Delhi, India for Research Fellowship in Science for Meritorious Students (RFSMS) and DST-FIST, for the instrumentation facilities provided.

#### **References**

1. Aas A, Beyer J, Goksoyr A (2000). Fixed fluorescence (FF) of bile as a monitoring tool for polyaromatic hydrocarbon exposure in fish: an evaluation of compound specificity inner filter effect and signal interpretation. *Biomarkers* 5, 9–23.
2. Akaishi FM, Silva de Assis HC Jakobi SCG, StJean S, Couternay SC, Lima E, Wagner ALR, Scofield A, Oliveira Ribeiro CA (2004). Morphological and neurotoxicological findings in tropical freshwater fish (*Astyanax* sp.) after waterborne and acute exposure to water soluble fraction (WSF) of crude oil. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 46:244–253.
3. Braunsbeck T (1994). Sub lethal and Chronic Effects of Pollutants on Freshwater Fish. Wiley Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
4. Burtis CA, Burtis CAA, and Ashwood ER (1996). Tietz Fundamentals of Clinical Chemistry. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, PA, ISBN: 0721637639.
5. Caliborne (1985) Catalase Activity. In handbook of method of oxygen research (Greenwald.R.A, Ed.). Boca Raton.FL: CRC press.283-284.
6. Carlberg I, and Mannervik B (1985). Glutathionereductase. In:Colowick, S.P., Kalpan, N.O. Eds, *Methods in Enzymology*. 113:484-490.
7. Hasspieler BM, Behar JV, Di Giulio RT (1994). Glutathione dependent defense in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) and brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*). *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*; 28:82–90.
8. Leadly TA, Arcand-Hoy LD, Haffner GD, Metcalfe C.D (1999). Fluorescent aromatic hydrocarbons in bile as a biomarker of exposure or brown bullheads (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) to contaminated sediments. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 18, 750–755.
9. Livingstone DR (2001). Contaminant-stimulated reactive oxygen species production and oxidative damage in aquatic organisms. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 42, 656-666.
10. Livingstone DR (1991). Organic xenobiotic metabolism in marine invertebrates. In: Gilles, R. (Ed.), *Advances in Comparative Environmental Physiology*, 7:41–185.
11. Neff JM (1985). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. In: Rand, G.M., Petrocelli, S.R. (Eds.), *Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology, Methods and Applications*. Hemisphere Publishing Corporation (McGraw-Hill International Book Company), Washington, New York, London, pp. 416–454.
12. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (1992). Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals: *Fish, Acute Toxicity Test, Document 203*; OECD: Paris, France.
13. Ogueji EO and Auta J (2007). Investigation of biochemical effect of acute concentrations of Lambda-Cyhalothrin on African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*- Teugels. *J. Fish. Int.*, 2: 86-90.
14. Shailaja MS, D'Silva, C (2003). Evaluation of impact of PAH on a tropical fish.



- Oreochromis mossambicus using multiple biomarkers. *Chemosphere* 53, 835–841.
15. Stegema, JJ, Lech JJ (1991). Cytochrome P-450 monooxygenase systems in aquatic species: carcinogen metabolism and biomarkers for carcinogen and pollutant exposure. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 90, 101–109.
  16. Stohs SJ, Bagchi D (1995). Oxidative mechanisms in the toxicity of metal ions. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 18, 321–336.
  17. Sunmonu TO, Oloyede OB (2006). Changes in liver enzyme activities in African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) exposed to crude oil. *Asian Fish. Sci.*, 19: 97–183.
  18. Sunmonu TO, Oloyede OB (2007). Biochemical assessment of the effects of crude oil contaminated catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) on the hepatocytes and performance of rat. *Afr. J. Biochem. Res.*, 1:83–89.
  19. Tuvikene A (1995). Responses of fish to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). *Ann. Zool. Fenn.* 32, 295–309.
  20. Valavanidis A, Vlahogianni T, Dassenakis M, Scoullos M (2006). Molecular biomarkers of oxidative stress in aquatic organisms in relation to toxic environmental pollutants. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 64, 178–189.
  21. Van Der Oost R, Beyer J, Vermeulen NPE (2003). Fish bioaccumulation and biomarkers in environmental risk assessment: A review. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 13: 57–149.
  22. Vieira LR, Sousa A, Frasco MF, Lima I, Morgado F, Guilhermino L (2008). Acute effects of Benzo[a]pyrene, anthracene and a fuel oil on biomarkers of the common goby *Pomatoschistus microps* (Teleostei, Gobiidae). *Science of the Total Environment*, 395: 87–100.
  23. Vutukuru SS, Arun Prabhath N, Raghavender M, Yerramilli A (2007). Effect of arsenic and chromium on the serum amino-transferases activity in Indian major Carp, *Labeo rohita*. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 4: 224–227.
  24. Wang C, Zhao YR, Ding X, Wei W, Zuo Z (2006). Effects of tributyltin, benzo [a] pyrene and their mixture on antioxidant defence systems in *Sebasticus marmoratus*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 65: 381–387.
  25. Wienterbourn C, Hawkinns R, Brian M, Carrell R (1975). The Estimation of red cell superoxide dismutase activity, *J Lab Clin Med* 85,337.
  26. Winston GW, Di Giulio RT. Prooxidant and antioxidant mechanism in aquatic organism. *Aquatic Toxicology* 1991; 24:143–52.




Fig. 1 Effect of Anthracene on LDH & AST activity in A-liver, B-gill, C-kidney, D-muscle of *L. rohita*




Fig.2 Effect of Anthracene on ALT & ALP activity in A-liver, B-gill, C-kidney, D-muscle of *L. rohita*





Fig.3 Effect of Anthracene on CAT & SOD activity in A-liver ,B-gill,C-kidney,D-muscle of *L. rohita*





**Fig.4 Effect of Anthracene on GSH activity in A-liver ,B-gill,C-kidney,D-muscle of *L.rohita***