



The resistance patterns of multi –Drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from different clinical samples

Samia S. Khamees

Biology Department, Faculty of Science, Omar AL-Mukhtar University, Tobruk- Libya

Abstract

A total number of 283 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates isolated from different clinical samples obtained from outpatients and hospitalized patients attending the Elbatnan Medical Center Tobruk and their sensitivity to antimicrobial drugs were tested. High incidence of resistant strains and high degree of association between resistance, and complex resistance patterns are seen. The strains were resistant to all Antibiotics tested and 10 resistance patterns occurred three times and more these, distinguished patterns could be used as an additional typing system for local *Staphylococcus aureus* strains.

Key-Words: *Staphylococcus aureus*, Multi-drug Resistant, Resistant Patters

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is a major Gram-positive pathogen that is capable of causing several kinds of infectious diseases, such as skin and soft tissue infection Pneumonia and sepsis. *S.aureus* is one of the commonest causes of both endemic and epidemic nosocomial infection, with substantial morbidity and mortality (Grosserod and Wenzal, 1999). *S.aureus* strains have been isolated s dramatically worldwide in hospitals such as the study of [Gordan,1993] which found that 18% of nosocomial infections were due to *S.aureus* whereas,[Archer,1996] reported that 14% Of nosocomial infections were *S.aureus*.

Staphylococcus aureus infection dramatically decreased after the introduction of penicillin ,which was followed by the introduction of penicillinase-stable penicillin(Park *et al.*, 2007).More than 80% of *S.aureus* strains produce penicillinase and therefore, Penicillinase-stable beta lactam such as methicillin,cloxacillin and flucloxacillin, have been mainstay of treatment of *S.aureus* for over35 years (Segreti *et al.*, 1996) .However, the introduction of these antibiotics has also contributed to the Emergence of methicillin resistant *S.aureus*[MRSA] strains and increasing number Of MRSA have been isolated worldwide,[Lynette *et al.*, 2008). In addition in hospitals, hospital associated-MRSA (HA-MRSA) have been reported (Ma *et al.*, 2000; Okuma *et al.*, 2000) .

In recent years infections with MRSA in children and adults who have Little or no access to the healthcare system, commonly referred to as community-associated MRSA(CA- MRSA),have been reported with increasing frequency and the characteristics of CA-MRS Aare district from HA- MRSA (Eady and Cove,2003; Ko *et al.*, 2005; Diep *et al.*, 2006 ; Scott *et al.*, 2011). Strains of MRSA may be epidemic (EMRSA) or multidrug –resistant with variable resistance to clindamycin erythromycin tetracycline, trimethobrim / sulfamethoxazole, Fluoroquinoiones, aminoglycosides and rifampicin. In the present study the resistance patterns of multi- drug resistant strain of *S.aureus* were reported and the correlations of resistance between pairs of antibiotics were suited.

Experimental

283 *S.aureus* strains were isolated from different clinical samples from patients hospitalized and out patients attending Al-batnan medical center between January 2005 and June2005 the strains were isolated and identified according to (Baron and Finegold,1990) and API system for *Staphylococcus aureus* (Lioflchem, Italy).The antimicrobial susceptibility test was perform using the agar dilution method according to the guidelines of the national committee for clinical laboratory standard Nccls2003 the antimicrobial agents used were ampicillin (P) ,Ampicillin (AMP) , Amoxicillin (AMX), Erythromycin (E), Co-Trimoxazole (SXT), Cephalexin (CLX), Doxycylin (DO), Nalidixic Acid (NA), Chloroamphenicol (C), Glavalanic acid Amoxicillin (AMC), Cloxacylln (OB), Clindomycin CN , Ceftriaxone CR.

* Corresponding Author

E.mail: alwan_sami2005@yahoo.com

Results and Discussion

283 *S.aureus* strains were isolated from different clinical samples (table-1). These isolates were collectively tested for sensitivity and resistance against 13 antibiotics. The antimicrobial susceptibility test of the isolates showed that *S.aureus* strains were sensitive to clavulanic acid/Amoxicillin, chloroamphenicol, cloxycline, doxycycline and cephalexin (table-2).

The result of this study showed that *S.aureus* strains were sensitive to Clindamycin agreed with the result of (Carmeli et al., 1999). Clindamycin, a lincosamide antibiotic, has long been considered to be an optional drug in the treatment of infections caused by both the aim is that demands that and it is MSSA and MRSA strains (Park et al., 2007).

The expression of inducible clindamycin resistance, however, could limit the effectiveness of this drug. Phenotypically, inducible clindamycin resistance strains appeared to be resistant to erythromycin and susceptible to clindamycin on routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing. This result is agreed with (Weisblum, 1985). Inducible resistance, however, can be expressed during double disk diffusion D-test (Leclercq, 2002; Lewis and Jorgensen, 2005) in which an erythromycin disk will induce clindamycin resistance.

The sensitivity of the isolates to clavulanic acid/amoxicillin, cloxacillin in the present study is similar to the result of (Segre et al., 1996), however, it is different from the result of (Al-Kalidy, 2002) in which the isolates were resistant to chloroamphenicol, cloxycline and clavulanic acid/Amoxicillin.

The result of the present study showed that the isolates were resistant to all antibiotics used in a ratio of 8-82% [table-3]. These strains were highly resistant to amoxicillin, ampicillin, erythromycin, co-trimoxazole, penicillin, cephalexin, doxycycline and nalidixic acid. Multi-drug resistance strains, especially to the β -lactam antibiotics, develop from the production of penicillin-binding protein [PBP2a] which has low affinity to β -lactams, allowing cell wall synthesis to continue in their presence; this protein therefore confers resistance to the β -lactamase-resistant, penicillin and cephalosporin (Keiichi, 2004). Matrix and triangle matrix were conducted for the resistance of the strains to antibiotics (table-4), (table-5). Almost all these strains were resistant to one or more antibiotics (table-6). The high incidence of resistant strains, high degree of association between resistance, and complex resistance pattern of the same strain were noticed. Most strains revealed multiple resistance and the resistance pattern was so different and complex. These results indicate that the resistance of most *S.aureus* to a number of antibiotics is

common, this agreed with the results of others (Palumbi, 2001; Levy and Marshall, 2004 and Lynette et al., 2008).

The result of present study showed that there were 10 resistance patterns to antibiotics and the resistance patterns to β -lactam antibiotics were the most frequent, e.g. the resistance pattern to ampicillin, amoxicillin frequency was 22 times and the resistance pattern to ampicillin, amoxicillin and penicillin frequency was 16 times. The results of this study recommend that therapy should account for local resistance patterns.

Conclusion

Staphylococcus aureus strains were tested against 13 antibiotics. Almost all strains were resistant to one or more antibiotics; there was high incidence of resistant strains, high degree of association between resistance, and complex resistance patterns were obtained.

References

1. AL-Kalidy B.E. (2002). Studies on the aerobic bacteria causing nosocomial infection and their resistance to antibiotics and disinfectant. Msc thesis Al-Qadissia Univ. Iraq.
2. Archer G.L. (1996). Staphylococcal infection. In: Cecil text book of medicine, Ed, 20th Vol. 2 (Bennett, J.C and Plum, F.) W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia. P 1605-1608.
3. Baron E J. and Tenover, S.H (1990): Bailey and Scott "Diagnostic Microbiology. 8th Ed. C.V. Mosby Co USA.
4. Carmeli Y.; Troillet N., Eliopoulos G and Samore M (1999). Emergence of antibiotic-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a comparison of risk associated with different anti *Pseudomonas* agents. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 43 (6): 1379-1382.
5. Diep B. A., Archer R. F., Chang G. F. Sensabaugh and F. Perdreau - Remington. (2006). Role of 34 virulence genes in the evolution of hospital and community associated strains of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Infect. Dis. 193, 1495 - 1503.
6. Eady E. D and J.H. Cove. (2003). Staphylococcal resistance revisited: Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* an emerging problem for the management of skin and soft tissue infections. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 16 103-124.
- Gordon J. (1993). Clinical significance of methicillin sensitive and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in UK hospital and the

- prevalence povidon-iodin in their control postgrade. Med. J 69 (Suppl. 3).S 106-S 116.
7. Grosserode M.H. and Wenzel R.P.(1999).The Continuing importance of phylococci as amajor hospital pathogen.J.hosp. Infection.19 (Suppl.B).
 8. Jin Yeo Park, Jong sook Jin,Young Kang, Ean Hee Jeong, Je chul Lee, Yoo chul,lee, Sung Yong Seol, Dong taek cho and Jungmin kim (2007). A comparison Of Adult and Pediatric Methicillin – Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates Collected from Patients at a university Hospital in Korea the Journal Of microbiology Vol. 45- No.5 P-447-452.
 9. Keichi Hiramatsu (2004). Elucidation of the mechanism of antibiotic resistance. *Staphlococcus aureus* (MRSA) and Deterred of its whole Genome nucleotide. Sequence JMA medical Award JMAJ 47(4) 153- 159.
 10. KO K. S. Kim J. Song, J. S. Yeom, H.Lee, S. L Junge, D.R.K.R Peck and N.Y. Lee(2005). a. Genotypic diversity of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Isolates in Korean hospitals Antimicrobial Agent Chemother.49, 3580- 3585.
 11. Leclereq R. (2002). Mechanism of resistance to macrolides and lincosamides nature of resistance elements and their clinical implications. Clin. Infect. Dis. 34, 482-492.
 12. Levy S.B. and Marshall B. (2004). Antibacterial resistance world wide: cause, challenges. and responses, Nature. Med. (10) S112-S129
 13. Lewis J.S.and J.H.Jorgensen (2005).Inducible clindomycin resistance in Staphylococci should clinicians and microbiologist be concerned.Clin.Infect.Dis 40, 280 - 285.
 14. Lynette C, Gariand R. Marshall, Gary R. Eldridge and Scott J .J. (2008). The biology and future prospects of antivirulence therapy .Nat Rev Microbi. 6 (1). 17 - 27.
 15. MaT.Ito, C.Tiensasitorn, M.Jamkiang.P Chong-trakool, S. Boyl - Vavra, R. S. Daum, and K.Hiramatsu.(2002).Novel type of *Staphylococcus cassette* chromosome. Mec Identified in community acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains.Antimicrob. Agents Chemotherap. 46, 1147 - 1152.
 16. N C C L (2003). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibiity test, a. Ap. Proved standard M2-A8 (Ed) National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (presently clinical laboratory standard institute). Wayne. PA, USA.
 17. Okuma K.. K. Iwakawa, J. D. Turnidige W. B. Grubb J. M, Bell, F, G. O' Brien G. W. Coombs, J.W.Pearman, C. Tenover, M. Kapi, C. Tiensasitorn, T. Itto and K. Hiramatsu. (2002). Dissemination of new methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones in the community. J. Clin Microbiol. 40, 4289 - 4294.
 18. Palumbi S. R. (2002). Human as the world greatest evolutionary force. Science. 293, 1786 - 1790.
 19. Scott K Heysell, Sheela V Shenoi, Kathryn Catterick, Tania A Thomas and Gerald Friedland (2011), Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage among hospitalised patients with tuberculosis in rural KwaZulu-Natal. SAMJ, S. Afr. Med. J. vol.101 no.5
 20. Segreti J. Levin S. and Marshael A.(1996). Bacteriological and clinical application of. A new extended spectrum pareteral cephalosporin AM J. Med., 100(6A): 51 - 54.
 21. Weisblum (1985). Inducible resistance to macrolides, lincosamides and streptogramin type B antibiotics, the resistance phenotype, its biological diversity. and structural element that regulate expression, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 16, 63 - 90.

Table 1: The different source of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains

Clinical samples	No of <i>S.aureus</i> strains	%
Throat swabs	29	10.25
Ear swabs	41	14.5
Wound and burns swabs	81	28.6
Urine samples	97	34.3
Vaginal swabs	8	2.83
Semen samples	10	3.5
Sputum	12	4.24

Table 2: Sensitivity and resistance of *S.aureus* to antibiotic

antibiotics	Urine 97	PUS 81	Sputum 12	T \ S 29	Ear 14	Semen 10	Vagina 8	Skin 5	Total	%
P	S R 78 19	S R 24 57	S R 3 9	S R 5 24	S R 11 30	S R 3 7	S R 4 4	S R 2 3	S \ R 130\ 153	S \ R 46\ 54
AMP	24 73	18 53	2 10	5 24	9 32	3 7	4 4	1 4	76\ 207	17\ 83
AMX	23 74	14 67	1 11	3 26	4 37	1 9	4 4	- 5	50\ 233	18\ 82
E	33 64	58 23	6 6	23 6	23 18	- 10	2 6	3 2	148\ 135	25\ 48
SXT	46 51	57 24	9 3	21 8	23 18	4 6	3 5	4 1	167\ 116	59\ 41
CLX	54 43	64 17	6 6	18 11	29 12	7 3	5 3	4 1	187\ 69	66\ 34
DO	55 42	63 19	11 1	25 4	28 13	6 4	3 5	5 -	195\ 88	69\ 31
NA	34 63	77 4	12 -	29 -	39 2	5 5	7 1	5 -	208\ 75	74\ 26
C	74 23	77 4	12 -	29 -	39 2	10 -	8 -	5 -	254\ 29	90\ 10
AMC	86 11	77 4	12 -	15 4	37 4	10 -	7 1	5 -	259\ 24	92\ 8
OP	88 9	71 10	9 3	22 7	31 10	6 4	6 2	4 1	237\ 46	84\ 16
CN	90 7	69 12	11 1	27 2	35 6	6 4	7 1	4 1	249\ 34	88\ 12
C	74 23	78 3	9 3	28 1	33 8	10 -	7 1	5 -	244\ 39	86\ 14

Table 3: Resistance of *S.aureus* strains isolated fro different clinical samples

Antibiotics	Urine 97	PUS 81	Sputum 12	T \ S 29	Ear 14	Semen 10	Vagina 8	Skin 5	Total
P	NO % 19 19.5	NO % 57 70.4	NO % 9 75 24 82.8	NO % 24 82.8	NO % 30 73	NO % 7 70	NO % 4 50	NO % 3 60	NO % 153 54
AMP	73 75.3	53 65.4	10 83.3	24 82.8	32 78	7 70	4 50	4 80	207 73
AMX	74 76.3	67 82.7	11 91.7	26 89.7	37 90.2	9 90	4 50	5 100	233 82
E	64 65.9	23 28.3	6 50	6 20.7	18 43.9	10 100	6 75	2 40	135 48
SXT	51 52.5	24 29.6	3 25	8 27.6	18 43.9	6 60	5 62.5	1 20	116 59
CLX	43 44.3	17 20.9	6 50	11 37.9	12 29.3	3 30	3 37.5	1 20	69 34
DO	42 43.3	19 23.5	1 8.3	4 13.8	13 31.7	4 40	5 62.5	- -	88 31
NA	63 64.9	4 4.9	- -	- -	2 4.9	5 50	1 12.5	- -	75 27
C	23 23.7	4 4.9	- -	- -	2 4.9	- -	- -	- -	29 10
AMC	11 11.4	4 4.9	- -	4 13.8	4 9.8	- -	1 12.5	- -	24 8
OP	9 9.2	10 12.4	3 25	7 24.1	10 24.4	4 40	2 25	1 20	46 16
CN	7 7.2	12 14.8	1 8.3	2 6.9	6 14.6	4 40	1 12.5	1 20	34 12
C	23 23.7	3 3.7	3 25	1 3.4	8 19.5	- -	1 12.5	- -	39 14

Table 4: Matrix of the frequency of co-resistance between pairs of antibiotics

	P	AMP	AMX	E	SXT	CLX	DO	NA	C	AMC	OB	CN	CR
P	-	98	122	59	50	39	38	17	7	10	26	22	12
AMP	98	-	194	104	88	91	64	38	25	22	37	24	35
AMX	122	194	-	102	78	84	66	16	24	20	30	17	35
E	59	104	102	-	65	54	52	51	17	11	22	20	25
SXT	50	88	78	65	-	49	53	38	18	8	19	21	24
CLX	39	91	84	54	49	-	35	32	15	10	23	9	22
DO	38	64	66	52	53	35	-	30	14	10	17	10	21
NA	17	38	16	51	38	32	30	-	19	5	11	7	14
C	7	25	24	17	18	15	14	19	-	5	1	3	9
AMC	10	22	20	11	8	10	10	5	5	-	7	4	7
OB	26	37	30	22	19	23	17	11	1	7	-	11	11
CN	22	24	17	20	21	9	10	7	3	4	11	-	9
CR	12	35	35	25	24	22	21	14	9	7	11	9	-

Table 5: Matrix indicating number of *S.aureus* strains resistant to two antibiotics at the same time

AMP	98												
AMX	122	194											
E	59	104	102										
SXT	50	88	78	65									
CLX	39	91	84	54	49								
DO	38	64	66	52	53	35							
NA	17	38	16	51	38	32	30						
C	7	25	24	17	18	15	14	19					
AMC	10	22	20	11	8	10	10	5	5				
OB	26	37	30	22	19	23	17	11	1	7			
CN	22	24	17	20	21	9	10	7	3	4	11		
CR	12	35	35	25	24	22	21	14	9	7	11	9	
	P	AMP	AMX	E	SXT	CLX	DO	NA	C	AMC	OB	CN	

Table 6: resistance pattern of *S.aureus* strains to antibiotics

Resistance patterns	Number	%
One antibiotic	4	1.4
Two antibiotics	36	12.7
Three antibiotics	46	16.2
Four antibiotics	64	22.6
Five antibiotics	42	14.8
Six antibiotics	30	10.6
Seven antibiotics	25	8.38
Eight antibiotics	15	5.35
Nine antibiotics	6	2.12
Twelve antibiotics	1	0.35

Table 7: The frequency of resistance patterns of *S. aureus*

Antibiotics	Frequency number
Amp – AMX	21
AMP – AMX – P	16
AMP – AMX – E	3
SXT – E – P	3
AN – AMX – P	3
AMP – AMX – E – P	11
AMP – AMX – SXT – P	6
AMP – AMX – CL – P	5
AMP – AMX – E – NA	3
AMP – AMX – E – NA – SXT – DO – CLX	5