



Value addition scenario of arid foods of desert area and evaluation of their nutritional and phytochemical potential

Sonia Mann, Innu Chaudhary and Rajinder K Gupta*

University School of Biotechnology, GGS IP University, Dwarka, (Delhi) - India

Abstract

Desert area is gifted with valuable natural resources particularly arid fruits and vegetables. Arid fruits are used by native people as a prime source of food with some traditional value attached to it. Processing of traditionally important arid foods into more functional and convenient product can improve livelihood security of the people worldwide. Thus, value addition prospects have been studied and reported along with its nutritional composition, phytochemicals and antioxidant activities. The effect of various solvents on extraction procedure and their activities were also analyzed. The study demonstrated Kair and Kachri the arid fruits of Rajasthan to be rich in proteins and carbohydrates.

Key-Words: *Capparis deciduas*, *Cucumis callosus*, solvent extraction, phenolics and antioxidant activity

Introduction

Metabolic process, UV radiation, environment pollution are fewer causes for generation of free radicals. Action of many carcinogens and mutagens is via free radical generation^{1,2}. Use of antioxidants in our diet protect against free radicals. Antioxidants help in scavenging free radicals thus preventing from various diseases³. Unsaturated fatty acids present in biomembrane by the attack of free radicals cause lipid per-oxidation thus destruction of proteins and DNA that may lead to various heart diseases, cancer, ageing³. Fruits and vegetables are naturally are very good antioxidant source where antioxidant activity is ascribed due to presence of phenolics, flavonoids, vitamins, carotenoids and secondary metabolites⁴. *Capparis decidua* is a medicinal plant, belongs to the family *Capparidaceae*⁵ and commonly known as 'Kair' in Hindi. Amino acid composition studies, fatty acid profiling, tocoferols and sterols have been identified in *Capparis decidua* seeds⁶. *Cucumis callosus* (Kachri) belongs to family *Cucurbitaceae*. Both are very important ingredient of royal and famous dish of Rajasthan, India. Aqueous extract of *Cucumis callosus* seeds inhibit free radicals of DPPH⁷.

Thus, the study on *C. deciduas* and *Cucumis callosus* is carried out to characterize various nutritional, phytochemicals and antioxidant activity of Kair and Kachri fruit and their use as a potential source of natural antioxidants in order to understand their nutritional and other health benefits.

Material and Methods

Kair (*Capparis decidua* (Forssk.) Edgew) and Kachri (*Cucumis callosus* (Rottler) Cogn.) dried fruits were collected from Delhi, India and were identified at NISCAIR. The partially crushed samples were used for various parameters.

Solvent extraction

Partially crushed samples were extracted with Ethyl acetate, Methanol, n-Hexane and water. The extracts were dried under vacuum and stored at 4°C.

Chemical analysis

Macrokjeldhal method was used for estimation of crude protein content⁸. Crushed samples of dried fruits were put in an oven at 105°C for 24 h. Difference in weight determines the moisture content⁹. The ash content was analyzed by AOAC method Ref. 942.05. The fat content of the samples was determined by using PE as a solvent. Crude fiber was determined¹⁰. Total carbohydrate⁹ and Energy calorific value⁸ were also calculated.

Phytochemical analysis

Crude alkaloids determination

2.5g sample mixed with 100ml 10% acetic acid in ethanol and incubated for 4h at RT. Sample was filtered and concentrated to ¼ of original volume using

* Corresponding Author

E.mail: rkg67ap@yahoo.com,
mannsonia23@gmail.com

water bath. Concentrated ammonium hydroxide added drop wise to the extract until the precipitation was complete. The precipitate washed with dilute ammonium hydroxide solution and filtered. Crude alkaloid was weighed¹¹.

Saponins determination

5 g of sample mixed with 50ml of 20% ethanol. The suspension was heated over a hot water bath for 4 h with continuous stirring at about 55°C. The mixture was filtered and the residue re-extracted with another 50ml of 20% ethanol. Combined extracts were reduced to 10 ml over water bath at about 90°C. The concentrate transferred to a separating funnel and 20ml of diethyl ether added and shaken vigorously. The aqueous layer was recovered while the ether layer was discarded. The purification process was repeated. 15 ml of n-butanol was added. The combined n-butanol extracts washed twice with 10ml 5% aqueous sodium chloride. The remaining solution heated over water bath, the samples were dried in the oven to a constant weight. The saponins content was calculated in percentage¹².

Tannin determination

5g of the sample was weighed into plastic bottle. 50ml distilled water was added and shaken for 1h in a shaker. This was filtered into a 50 ml of the volumetric flask and made up to mark. 5ml of the filtrate was pipette out into a tube and mixed with 3ml of 0.1M FeCl₃ in 0.1 N HCl and 0.008M potassium ferrocyanide. The absorbance was measured at 605nm wavelength within 10 min. A blank sample was prepared and the color developed and need at the same wavelength. A standard was prepared using tannin acid to get 100 ppm and measured¹³.

Total phenolics determination (TPH)

Folin Ciocalteu reagent method was used to determined total phenols¹⁴. 100µl of sample mixed with 1.150 ml of distilled water and 250µl of FC reagents and vortexed and added 1.5ml of 20% Na₂CO₃. After 2h added 2 ml DW and absorbance was measured at 765 nm. Gallic acid (0-100 µg/ml) was used as standard for preparation of calibration curve. Total phenol values were expressed in terms of gallic acid equivalent (mg g⁻¹ of dry extract).

Total flavonoids determination

Aluminum chloride colorimetric method was used for flavonoids determination¹⁵. 250µl extract mixed with 4.5ml DW and 0.3ml NaNO₂ (5 %). After 5 min added 0.3ml of AlCl₃ (10%). Added 2ml of NaOH (1M) after 6 min. Volume made upto 10 ml with DW and absorbance was taken at 510 nm. Rutin was used as standard for preparation of calibration curve.

Total flavonol determination

250µl extract mixed with 1ml of ethanol followed by 1ml of 2% Aluminium chloride solution with mixing. 3ml of 5% sodium acetate solution was added and incubated at 20°C for 2.5h. Absorbance was measured at 440nm. Rutin was used as the standard for calibration curve. The flavonol content was expressed in mg of rutin equivalents (RE) per gram of dry weight of sample¹⁶.

Antioxidant Activity

DPPH radical scavenging activity

0.1ml of extract mixed with 1ml of DPPH. Absorbance was measured at 517nm²⁵ after 30 min of incubation. Ascorbic acid and trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylic acid) were used as standards¹⁷.

ABTS assay

10µl of extract was mixed with 990 µl ABTS reagent and incubated for 10 min. Absorbance was measured at 734nm. Ascorbic acid and trolox were used as standards¹⁸.

FRAP assay

100µl of extract was mixed with 900µl of FRAP reagents. Absorbance was measured at 593nm²⁷ after 4min of incubation at RT. FRAP values were calculated as mg of trolox equivalents/g extract (TE)¹⁹.

Results and Discussion

Chemical analysis

Potential benefits were shown by nutritional attributes of Kair and Kachri (Table 1). In present studies, Kair and Kachri were found to be rich in proteins and carbohydrates. However, crude fat was found to be very low in kair. Moisture content and dry matter analysis reporting during nutritional analysis is very important because it directly affect the nutritional content of the fruits, vegetables. Ash and moisture content significantly vary in both the samples on dry weight basis.

Phytochemical analysis

The phytochemical content of Kair and Kachri were analyzed and the values of tannins, saponins and crude alkaloids were determined on dry weight basis (g/100g) (Table 2). High quantity of alkaloids was found in Kachri. Tannin content was found to be nearly similar in Kair and Kachri. The value of saponins was found to be almost related in both the samples. High amount of alkaloids correspond to spasmolytic and anesthetic agents. Saponins helps in boost of the immune system, lower the cholesterol levels in the blood and reduce the risk of getting intestinal cancer.

Phenolic compounds (Table 3) help in plant defense mechanism by counteracting reactive oxygen species (ROS) in order to prevent molecular damage²⁰. Total

phenolic content ranged between 49-154 µg GAE/mg extract and 56-72 µg GAE/mg extract in kair and Kachri respectively in different solvent extracts. Research studies have shown that phenolic compounds contribute to quality and nutritional value in terms of modifying color, taste, aroma, and flavor and also in providing health beneficial effects. Phenolics provide plant defense mechanisms to neutralize reactive oxygen species (ROS) in order to survive and prevent molecular damage and damage by microorganisms, insects, and herbivores²⁰. Highest phenolic compounds were obtained in n-hexane extracts of Kair which suggest that major compounds are less polar and therefore retrieved in n-hexane. Flavonoids and flavanol content was also found highest in n-hexane extracts only in both kair and Kachri (Table 3).

Antioxidant Activity:

DPPH scavenging activity

DPPH is a free radical generating compound and has been widely used to evaluate the free radical scavenging ability of various antioxidant compounds. Figure 1 shows that with increasing conc. of extracts, % scavenging of DPPH free radicals also increases. The order of antioxidant activity assessed by DPPH was n-hexane > DCM > Acetone > Aqueous (Kair) and MeOH > Ethyl acetate > n-hexane (Kachri). Trolox was used as standard.

ABTS scavenging activity

ABTS scavenging assay is applicable for screening both lipophilic and hydrophilic antioxidants. IC₅₀ values of different extracts of Kair and Kachri on ABTS radical is given in Table 3. The order of antioxidant activity assessed by ABTS was- Aqueous > Acetone > DCM > n-hexane (Kair) and MeOH > Ethyl acetate > n-hexane (Kachri).

FRAP assay

Trolox was used as the standard curve for FRAP. Values of Trolox equivalents for the samples were calculated by extrapolation standard curve ($y = 0.011X$, $R^2 = 0.983$). N-hexane extract showed high yield for both the samples whereas DCM extract showed nearly equal values as that of n-hexane in case of Kair (Table 3).

Two important ingredients of Panchkuta were analyzed in terms of nutritional, phytochemicals and antioxidant potential for their use as functional foods and nutraceutical to provide health benefits. Both the ingredients contain appreciable amount of proteins which makes Panchkuta a highly proteinaceous diet. Kair has very low amount of fat which makes it ideal diet for overweight people. It can be used in weight reduction diets also. High amount of alkaloids are present in Kachri fruits so it can be further tested for

spasmolytic and anesthetic agents. Both the samples contain high amount of saponins. Saponins help in boost of the immune system, lower the cholesterol levels in the blood and reduce the risk of getting intestinal cancer. Moderate antioxidant activity was shown by both the ingredients of Panchkuta.

There is a significant traditional insight available on different therapeutic and nutritional uses of arid fruits and vegetables along with great potential in the field of value addition. Therefore, there is a good future scope in functional foods in terms of availability, quality and therapeutic uses of arid fruits and vegetables.

Acknowledgement

We are very grateful to University Grants commission for the financial support under the Special Assistance Programme (SAP) from 2011-2016.

References

1. Adegoke G.O., Kumar M.N., Gopalakrishna A.G., Vardaraj M.C., Sambaia K. and Lokesh B.R. (1998). Antioxidants and lipid oxidation in foods-a critical appraisal, *Journal of food science and technology*, 35: 283-298.
2. Mccord J.M. (1994). Free radicals and pro-oxidants in health and nutrition, *Food Technology*, 48: 106-111.
3. Ames B.N. (1983). Dietary carcinogens and anticarcinogens: Oxygen radicals and degenerative diseases, *Science*, 221: 1256-1263.
4. Thaipong K., Boonprakob U., Crosby K., Cisneros-Zevallos L. and Byrne H.D. (2006). Comparison of ABTS, DPPH, FRAP and ORAC assays for estimating antioxidant activity from guava fruit extracts, *Journal of food composition and analysis*, 19: 669-675.
5. Raina S. (1987). Chemical examination of edible plants of Rajasthan desert with special reference to *Capparidaceae*, *Current Agriculture*, 11: 15-23.
6. Haq M.Z.U., Cavar S., Qayum M., Imran I. and Feo V.D. (2011). Compositional Studies: Antioxidant and Antidiabetic Activities of *Capparis deciduas* (Forsk.) edgew, *International Journal of Molecular Science*, 12: 8846-8861.
7. Chand T., Bhandari A., Kumawat B.K., Sharma A., Pareek A. and Bansal V.K. (2012). In vitro antioxidant activity of aqueous extract of seeds of *Cucumis callosus* (Rottl.) Cogn, *Der Pharmacia Lettre*, 4(3): 840-844.
8. Official methods of analysis, 16th edn, (AOAC, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington VA, USA) 1995.
9. Mattila P., Konko K., Eurola M., Pihlava J.M., Astola J. and Vanteristo L. (2001). Contents of vitamins, mineral elements, and some phenolic

- compounds in cultivated mushrooms, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49: 2343–2348.
10. Jaafar R., Rahman A., Mahmud N. and Vasudevan R. (2009). Proximate analysis of Dragon Fruit (*Hylecereus polyhizus*), *American Journal of Applied sciences*, 6(7): 1341-1346.
 11. Herborne J.B. (1973). *Phytochemical Methods, Chapman and Hall, London*, 110-113.
 12. Obadoni B.O. and Ochuko P.O. (2001). Phytochemical studies and comparative efficacy of the crude extracts of some homeostatic plants in Edo and Delta States of Nigeria, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 8: 203-208.
 13. Van-Buren J.P. and Robinson W.B. (1969). Formation of complexes between protein and tannic acid, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 1:17-772.
 14. McDonald S., Prenzler P.D., Autolovich M. and Robards K. (2001). Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of olive extracts, *Food Chemistry*, 73: 73-84.
 15. Chang C., Yang M., Wen H. and Chern J. (2002). Estimation of total flavonoids content in propolis by two complementary colorimetric methods, *Journal of Food Drug Analysis*, 10: 178-182.
 16. Miliauskas G, Venskutonis PR and Beek TAV. Screening of radical scavenging activity of some medicinal and aromatic plant extracts, *Food Chemistry*, 2004;85: 231-237.
 17. Shimada K., Fujikawa K., Yahara K. and Nakamura T. (1992). Antioxidative properties of xanthan on the autoxidation of soybean oil in cyclodextrine emulsion, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 40: 945-948.
 18. Re R., Pellegrini N., Proteggente A., Pannala A., Yang M. and Rice- Evans C. (1999). Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay, *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 26: 1231-1237.
 19. Benzie I.F.F. and Strain J.J. (1996). The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of antioxidant power: the FRAP assay, *Analytical Biochemistry*, 239: 70-76.
 20. Vaya J., Belinky P.A. and Aviram M. (1997). Antioxidant constituents from licorice roots: Isolation, structure elucidation and antioxidative capacity toward LDL oxidation, *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 23(2): 302-313.

Table1: Proximate composition of Kair and Kachri (g/100g)

Species	Ash	Moisture	Crude fat	Total protein	Total carbohydrate	Crude Fiber
kair	7.69±0.121	0.9±0.021	0.64±0.021	45.116±0.321	45.654±0.562	0.8±0.021
kachri	11.8±0.160	2.5±0.061	6.828±0.165	23.846±0.991	61.214±0.615	0.23±0.165

Table2: Phytochemical content of Kair and Kachri (g/100g)

Species	Crude alkaloids (g/100g)	Tannins (g/100g)	Saponins (g/100g)
Kair	2.16±0.0355	0.130±0.001	7.80±0.340
Kachri	12.96±0.086	0.177±0.007	7.28±0.077




Fig. 1: % Scavenging activity of various extracts of a) Kair b) Kachri

Table3: Phytochemical and antioxidant content in kair and kachri

		Kair				Kachri		
		Aqueous	N-Hexane	DCM	Acetone	Ethyl acetate	n-Hexane	Methanol
Phenolic compounds	Total Phenolic ($\mu\text{g GAE/mg extract}$)	154 \pm 2.041	357 \pm 2.094	63.66 \pm 2.65	49.83 \pm 2.718	56.66 \pm 6.78	72.33 \pm 7.14	61.66 \pm 6.94
	Total Flavonoid ($\mu\text{g RE/mg extract}$)	98.33 \pm 8.49	812.33 \pm 9.53	130.33 \pm 19	106.33 \pm 8.95	240.66 \pm 18.2	269.33 \pm 6.54	20.33 \pm 0.471
	Total Flavanol ($\mu\text{g RE/mg extract}$)	148.33 \pm 20.54	868.33 \pm 2.86	776 \pm 9.09	341 \pm 3.26	681.66 \pm 3.29	883.33 \pm 2.49	681.66 \pm 3.29
Antioxidant activity	DPPH IC ₅₀ (mg/ml)	N.D.	12 \pm 0.458	23 \pm 0.318	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
	ABTS IC ₅₀ (mg/ml)	9.3 \pm 0.085	N.D.	28 \pm 0.315	16.5 \pm 0.396	N.D.	N.D.	61 \pm 0.28
	FRAP (mg TE/g extract)	71.42 \pm 4.369	74.33 \pm 0.3858	74.15 \pm 1.78	72.21 \pm 0.171	72.72 \pm 0.598	75.636 \pm 1.2	70.9 \pm 1.243